

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendment, claims 10, 12, 17 and 21 will have been canceled, without prejudice and without disclaimer of the subject matter. Further, claims 11 and 13 will have been amended to more clearly define the claimed embodiments of the invention, and claims 23-27 will have been submitted for the Examiner's consideration.

More particularly, claim 11 will have been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 17, together with the subject matter of intervening claim 12. New claims 23 and 24 depend from allowable claim 18, and respectively recite that at least one of the networks is a packet switched data network, and that the packet switched data network is the Internet. (Applicants note that they have not cancelled previously presented claim 22, even though it was rejected by the Examiner, because it depends from allowed claim 18, and would therefore appear to be allowable.)

New independent claim 25 is an apparatus claim that substantially recites the subject matter of allowed claim 18, including the GDI server obtaining additional information associated with the calling party and transmitting the calling party information and the additional information to a call logger database. New claims 26 and 27, which ultimately depend from new claim 25, recite that the first network is a packet switched data network and the second network is a public switched telephone network. Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are now in condition for allowance.

Applicants note that the present Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 is accompanied by a

fourth supplemental IDS, which brings to the Examiner's attention a number of references cited in other applications, filed by the same assignee as the present application and containing somewhat similar subject matter. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to consider and cite these additional references.

In the above-referenced Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 10-16 and 21-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over GURBANI *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,275) in view of SRINIVASAN (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,275). Although Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's analysis and conclusions with respect to the rejected claims, Applicants have cancelled claims 10 and 21 in order to expedite prosecution and allowance of the claims that remain pending in the present application.


With respect to claims 13-16 and 22, Applicants assert that they are allowable at least because they depend, directly or indirectly, from independent claims 11 (amended to include the subject matter of cancelled claims 12 and 17) and 18, respectively, which Applicants submit the Examiner has acknowledged to be allowable.

In view of the herein contained amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of previously asserted rejections set forth in the Official Action of July 13, 2004, together with an indication of the allowability of all pending claims, in due course. Such action is respectfully requested and is believed to be appropriate and proper.

Any amendments to the claims in this Amendment, which have not been specifically noted to

overcome a rejection based upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

Respectfully submitted,
A. K. BHANDARI et al.

 RN 033630
Bruce H. Bernstein
Reg. No. 29,027

13